

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA
DOCKET NO. 2013-3-E**

In the Matter of
Annual Review of Base Rates
for Fuel Costs for
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

)

)

)

)

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JOSEPH A. MILLER, JR. FOR
DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC**

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Joseph A. Miller, Jr. and my business address is 526 South Church
3 Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am currently General Manager of Strategic Engineering for Duke Energy Business
6 Services, LLC (“DEBS”). DEBS is a service company subsidiary of Duke Energy
7 Corporation (“Duke Energy”), which provides services to Duke Energy and its
8 subsidiaries, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (“Duke Energy Carolinas”,
9 “DEC” or “the Company”).

10 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND**
11 **PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.**

12 A. I graduated from Purdue University with a Bachelor of Science degree in
13 mechanical engineering. I also completed twelve post graduate level courses in
14 Business Administration at Indiana State University. My career began with Duke
15 Energy (d/b/a Public Service of Indiana) in 1991 as a staff engineer at Duke Energy
16 Indiana’s Cayuga Steam Station. Since that time, I have held various roles of
17 increasing responsibility in the generation engineering, maintenance, and operations
18 areas, including the role of station manager, first at Duke Energy Kentucky’s East
19 Bend Steam Station, followed by Duke Energy Ohio’s Zimmer Steam Station. I was
20 named General Manager of Analytical and Investments Engineering in 2010, and
21 was named to my current role following the merger between Duke Energy and
22 Progress Energy, Inc.

1 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES AS GENERAL MANAGER OF STRATEGIC**
2 **ENGINEERING?**

3 A. My responsibilities include environmental compliance planning and strategy, fuel
4 flexibility, assessment of new technology developments, and analysis of plant
5 retirements and new fossil generation for Duke Energy's fleet of fossil, and
6 hydroelectric ("hydro" and collectively, "fossil/hydro") facilities.

7 **Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION IN ANY PRIOR**
8 **PROCEEDINGS?**

9 A. Yes. I testified before this Commission in DEC's 2012 annual fuel proceeding in
10 Docket No. 2012-3-E ("2012 Fuel Filing"), as well as in Duke Energy Progress,
11 Inc.'s annual fuel proceeding earlier this year in Docket No. 2013-1-E. I also have
12 filed testimony and testified in DEC's recent base rate adjustment hearing before this
13 Commission in Docket No 2013-59-E.

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**
15 **PROCEEDING?**

16 A. The purpose of my testimony is to (1) describe DEC's generation portfolio and
17 changes made since the 2012 Fuel Filing, as well as those expected in the near term,
18 (2) discuss the performance of DEC's fossil/hydro facilities during the June 1, 2012
19 through May 31, 2013 review period (the "review period"), and (3) provide
20 information on significant outages that occurred during the review period.

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE DEC’S FOSSIL/HYDRO GENERATION**
2 **PORTFOLIO.**

3 A. The Company’s fossil/hydro generation portfolio as of April 1, 2013 consists of
4 approximately 14,400 megawatts (“MWs”) of generating capacity, made up as
5 follows:

6	Coal-fired -	7,172 MWs
7	Hydro -	3,229 MWs
8	Combustion Turbines -	2,769 MWs
9	Combined Cycle Turbines -	1,240 MWs

10 The coal-fired assets consist of five generating stations and a total of 16
11 units. The Company has 13 units providing approximately 6,802 MWs of capacity
12 that are equipped with emission control equipment, including selective catalytic or
13 selective non-catalytic reduction (“SCR” or “SNCR”) equipment for removing
14 nitrogen oxides (“NOx”), and flue gas desulfurization (“FGD” or “scrubber”)
15 equipment for removing sulfur dioxide (“SO₂”). In addition, all 16 coal-fired units
16 are equipped with low NOx burners.

17 The Company has a total of 31 simple cycle combustion turbine (“CT”)
18 units, of which 29 are considered the larger group providing approximately 2,687
19 MWs of capacity. These 29 units are located at Lincoln, Mill Creek and
20 Rockingham Stations, and are equipped with water injection systems that reduce
21 NOx and/or have low NOx burner equipment in use. The Lee CT facility includes
22 two units with a total capacity of 82 MWs equipped with fast-start ability in support
23 of DEC’s Oconee Nuclear Station. The 1,240 MWs shown earlier as “combined

1 cycle turbines” (“CC”) represent the Buck CC and Dan River CC facilities that
2 began commercial operation in late 2011 and late 2012, respectively. These
3 facilities are equipped with the latest technology for emission control including
4 SCRs, low NOx burners, and carbon monoxide/volatile organic compounds
5 catalysts. The Company’s hydro fleet includes two pumped storage hydro facilities
6 with four units each that provide a total capacity of 2,140 MWs along with
7 conventional hydro assets consisting of 75 units providing approximately 1,089
8 MWs of capacity.

9 **Q. WHAT CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED WITHIN THE FOSSIL/HYDRO**
10 **PORTFOLIO SINCE DEC’S 2012 FUEL FILING?**

11 A. Changes within the portfolio include the addition of 1,445 MWs of new generation
12 when Dan River CC and Cliffside Steam Station (“Cliffside”) Unit 6 were declared
13 available for commercial operation in December 2012. Additionally, DEC retired
14 the remaining two units at Buck, Units 5 and 6 (256 MWs), along with Riverbend
15 Steam Station, Units 4 through 7 (454 MWs) on April 1, 2013. These assets served
16 customers well for multiple decades and, at 58 to 60 years old, were at the end of
17 their useful lives. The Company had planned to operate these units until retirement
18 in April 2015, but operated them infrequently in recent years and would operate
19 them even less due to low natural gas prices and new generation resources that are
20 more efficient. Additionally, DEC had already agreed to retire these units in
21 progressive fashion under the Cliffside Unit 6 air permit and merger agreements.
22 Lastly, due to age and obsolescence, DEC retired older CTs at Buck, Buzzard Roost,
23 Dan River, and Riverbend Stations for a reduction of 350 MWs.

1 **Q. ARE OTHER CAPACITY CHANGES EXPECTED WITHIN THE**
2 **FOSSIL/HYDRO PORTFOLIO FOR THE NEAR FUTURE?**

3 A. Not in the near term. However, DEC is finalizing analysis for the option of a gas
4 conversion on unit 3 at the Lee Steam Station and will be submitting information to
5 the Commission later this year or early in 2014.

6 **Q. WHAT ARE DEC'S OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION OF ITS**
7 **FOSSIL/HYDRO FACILITIES?**

8 A. The primary objective of DEC's fossil/hydro generation department is to safely
9 provide reliable and cost-effective electricity to DEC's customers. The Company
10 achieves this objective by focusing on a number of key areas. Operations personnel
11 and other station employees are well-trained and execute their responsibilities to the
12 highest standards in accordance with procedures, guidelines, and a standard
13 operating model.

14 Like safety, environmental compliance is a "first principle" and DEC works
15 very hard to achieve high level results. The Company achieves compliance with all
16 applicable environmental regulations and maintains station equipment and systems
17 in a cost-effective manner to ensure reliability. The Company also takes action in a
18 timely manner to implement work plans and projects that enhance the safety and
19 performance of systems, equipment, and personnel, consistent with providing low-
20 cost power for its customers. Equipment inspection and maintenance outages are
21 scheduled during the spring and fall months when electricity demand is reduced due
22 to weather conditions. These outages are well-planned and executed with the
23 primary purpose of preparing the unit for reliable operation until the next planned

1 outage.

2 **Q. WHAT HAS BEEN THE HEAT RATE OF DEC'S COAL UNITS DURING**
3 **THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

4 A. Heat rate is a measure of the amount of thermal energy needed to generate a given
5 amount of electric energy and is expressed as British thermal units ("Btu") per
6 kilowatt-hour ("kWh"). A low heat rate indicates an efficient fleet that uses less heat
7 energy from fuel to generate electrical energy. Over the review period, the average
8 heat rate for DEC's coal fleet was 9,480 Btu/kWh. The Company's largest units –
9 those with the highest usage rates – achieved an average heat rate of 9,434 Btu/kWh
10 for the review period. In operating performance data for 2011, published in the
11 December 2012 issue of *Electric Light and Power* magazine, DEC's Belews Creek
12 Steam Station ("Belews Creek") and Marshall Steam Station ("Marshall") ranked as
13 the country's fourth and eighth most energy efficient coal-fired generators, with heat
14 rates of 9,210 and 9,480 Btu/kWh, respectively. These results compare favorably to
15 the average heat rate of 10,450 Btu/kWh for the North American coal generators.
16 For the review period, the Belews Creek units provided the majority (45.7%) of
17 coal-fired generation for DEC, with the Marshall units providing the second highest
18 percentage (31.0%).

19 **Q. HOW MUCH GENERATION DID EACH TYPE OF GENERATING**
20 **FACILITY PROVIDE FOR THE PERIOD?**

21 A. The Company's system generation totaled 95,749,846 MW hours ("MWHs") for the
22 review period. The fossil/hydro fleet provided 38,103,878 MWHs, or approximately
23 40% of the total generation. The breakdown includes a 31% contribution from the

1 coal-fired stations, approximately 1% contribution each for the CTs and hydro
2 facilities, and approximately 7% from the CC operations.

3 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE OPERATIONAL RESULTS FOR DEC'S**
4 **FOSSIL/HYDRO FLEET DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD.**

5 A. The Company's generating units operated efficiently and reliably during the review
6 period. The Company uses key measures to evaluate the operational performance of
7 generating facilities: (1) equivalent availability factor; and (2) capacity factor.
8 Equivalent availability factor refers to the percent of a given time period a facility
9 was available to operate at full power, if needed. Equivalent availability is not
10 affected by the manner in which the unit is dispatched or by the system demands; it
11 is impacted, however, by planned and unplanned (*i.e.*, forced) outage time. Capacity
12 factor measures the generation that a facility actually produces against the amount of
13 generation that theoretically could be produced in a given time period, based upon
14 its maximum dependable capacity. Capacity factor is affected by the dispatch of the
15 unit to serve customer needs.

16 The performance metrics reported for the review period reflect a shift in
17 operational characteristics and less than a full year of operational data for the new
18 and retired facilities as noted previously in my testimony. Additionally, DEC is
19 dispatching natural gas units more frequently to take advantage of low prices as
20 described in Company witness Weintraub's testimony. The Company is therefore
21 not only reporting the metrics on a total generator type basis, but is also reporting the
22 metrics for the large coal-fired facilities, which have a higher usage rate and are the
23 most cost effective generators within the generator type group.

1 The Company's larger coal-fired units achieved results of 87.0% equivalent
2 availability factor and 51.6% capacity factor over the review period. During the
3 2012 peak summer season (e.g., June through August 2012), these larger units
4 achieved results of 96.2% equivalent availability factor and 65.5% capacity factor.
5 On a total coal-fired fleet basis, the capacity factor was 45.8% for the review period
6 and 57.3% during the 2012 summer peak months. Overall, the coal-fired units
7 achieved a fleet-wide availability factor of 88.4% for the review period, and 96.5%
8 during the 2012 summer peak months. These results compare favorably with the
9 most recently published North American Electric Reliability Council ("NERC")
10 average equivalent availability results for all North American coal plants of 83.5%.
11 The results, included in the NERC Generating Availability Report¹ ("NERC
12 Report"), represent the period 2007 through 2011.

13 The Company's CTs located at Lincoln, Mill Creek, Rockingham, and Lee
14 Stations were available as needed in this time period, with a 99.2% starting
15 reliability, outperforming the average of 97.4% reported by NERC in the above-
16 referenced report. The Buck and Dan River CC facilities reported a capacity factor
17 of 79.2%, which is above the NERC reported average of 40.4%. With an overall
18 availability factor of 90.9%, the hydroelectric fleet had outstanding operational
19 performance during the review period, and also exceeded the NERC reported
20 average availability factor of 85.2%.

¹ Typically, the Company obtains this data from NERC's Generating Unit Statistical Brochure ("NERC Brochure"). The most recent NERC Brochure, however, has not yet been published, and as a result, the Company computed this data from the NERC Report.

1 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS SIGNIFICANT OUTAGES OCCURRING AT DEC'S**
2 **FOSSIL/HYDRO FACILITIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD.**

3 A. In general, planned maintenance outages for all fossil and larger hydro units are
4 scheduled for the spring and fall to maximize unit availability during periods of peak
5 demand. Most of these units had at least one small planned outage during this
6 review period to inspect and maintain plant equipment. The following coal-fired
7 units had planned outages of six weeks or more. In the fall of 2012, Allen Units 1, 2
8 and 5 had outages for FGD absorber maintenance and warranty work along with air
9 preheater basket replacement for Unit 5. Significant work during these outages
10 included installation of a potential adjustment protection system for the absorber
11 reaction tank, battery bank replacement, and the rebuild of multiple valves. Marshall
12 Unit 3 began a maintenance outage in the spring of 2013 which included turbine
13 inspections. The inspections revealed cracking in some blade rows prompting an
14 inspection on Unit 4 since that unit had the same blade design and relatively the
15 same operational hours. The inspection verified that Unit 4 had the same cracking
16 and required blade replacements as well. The Unit 3 return to service was delayed
17 due notably to welding issues on the superheat outlet header.

18 Combustion turbine outages included Mill Creek Units 1 and 2 in the fall of
19 2012 to perform generator shaft seal and jacking oil replacements. Also in the
20 fall, outages occurred for Lincoln Units 3 and 4 that involved generator
21 inspections along with annual maintenance activities. Units 7 and 8 at Lincoln
22 had spring 2013 outages for planned maintenance activities.

1 Outages began for Rockingham Units 1 and 3 for borescope inspections.
2 The inspections revealed cracks and material loss in transition pieces with
3 downstream damage to turbine blades and vanes. The Company opted to take
4 Units 2 and 4, which are equipped with the same style and vintage pieces, offline
5 and perform borescope inspections. The inspections on Units 2 and 4 revealed
6 suspect areas in the transition pieces for Unit 2 and several cracked transition
7 pieces but without material loss for Unit 4. Purchase of new components -- Units
8 1 and 3 had sustained in-service damage to certain components that were not
9 reparable -- reduced the lead-time on repairs, and the units were returned to
10 service late in December 2012. The components for Units 2 and 4 were
11 reparable, which reduces the costs but increases the lead-time. Unit 4 returned to
12 service in late May 2013, and Unit 2 will return pending a boroscope inspection.

13 Hydro outages included Cowans Ford Unit 2, which came back on-line
14 from a planned outage in May 2013 following draft tube liner repairs. Great Falls
15 Unit 2 was brought back on-line in early June 2013 following major repair to the
16 exciter, transmitter, runner and turbine. Also, Lookout Unit 2 returned to service
17 in April 2013 following a scheduled reduction involving a mechanical overhaul.

18 **Q. HOW DOES DEC ENSURE EMISSION REDUCTIONS FOR**
19 **ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE?**

20 A. As noted above, DEC has installed pollution control equipment on coal-fired units,
21 as well as new generation resources in order to meet various current federal, state,
22 and local reduction requirements for NO_x and SO₂ emissions. The SCR technology
23 that DEC currently operates uses ammonia or, in the case of Marshall Unit 3, urea,

1 which is converted to ammonia for NO_x removal. The SNCR technology injects
2 urea into the boiler for NO_x removal and the scrubber technology employed by DEC
3 uses crushed limestone for SO₂ removal. Dibasic acid can also be used with the
4 scrubber technology for additional SO₂ removal, and the reagents magnesium
5 hydroxide and calcium carbonate are used for sulfur trioxide (“SO₃”) mitigation.
6 SCR equipment is also an integral part of the design of the Buck and Dan River CC
7 Stations. Aqueous ammonia (19% solution of NH₃) is introduced for NO_x removal.

8 Overall, the type and quantity of chemicals used to reduce emissions at the
9 plants varies depending on the generation output of the unit, the chemical
10 constituents in the fuel burned, and/or the level of emission reduction required. The
11 Company is managing the impacts, favorable or unfavorable, as a result of changes
12 to the fuel mix and/or changes in coal burn due to competing fuels and utilization of
13 non-traditional coals. The goal is to effectively comply with emission regulations
14 and provide the most efficient total-cost solution for operation of the unit.

15 **Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

16 **A.** Yes, it does.